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#### Abstract

The list decreasing heuristic for the vertex cover problem is an online vertex covering algorithm. An upper bound $\sqrt{\Delta} / 2+3 / 2$ had been proposed on the approximation ratio for it, and a graph had also been given to reach a lower bound of $\sqrt{\Delta} / 2+1 / 2$. In this paper, we refine the techniques of previous researchers, and construct a new type of graphs which can enhance the lower bound to $\sqrt{\Delta} / 2+1$, and all the graphs can be categorized into a group. Then we proposed a modified algorithm to obtain a tighter bound and prove it with an example.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The minimum vertex cover problem is the optimization problem of finding a minimum cardinality vertex cover for a given graph. Let $G=(V, E)$ be an undirected, unweighted graph. A set of vertices $C \subseteq V$ is called a vertex cover if for any edge in E at least one of its endpoints is contained in $C$.

The vertex cover problem is a famous NP-hard optimization problem. Currently, there is no polynomial time algorithm to solve it optimally. Several approximation algorithms for the vertex cover problem have been proposed with various performance guarantees. Cormen et al. [1] described a very simple approximation algorithm based on maximal matching which gives an approximation ratio of 2 .

Demange et al. [2] proposed the online vertex covering problem. The input is not entirely known at the beginning, vertices are revealed one by one and a decision of selection must be taken for each
revealed vertex. The scanned vertex is selected if and only if it has at least a nonselected already revealed neighbor.

Some approximation algorithms are based on a static ordering of vertices determined by their degrees and the vertex degrees are not updated in the process. An interesting model is what Avis et al. called the list heuristic in [3]. This kind of algorithm scans the vertices one by one in a fixed given order called a list and takes a definitive decision of selection for the currently scanned vertex. Avis et al. [3] proposed the list decreasing heuristic (Delbot called it ListLeft [4]) that chooses vertices in order of decreasing degree, selecting a vertex if it is adjacent to an uncovered edge. They proved that its approximation ratio is at most

$$
\frac{\Delta}{2 \sqrt{\Delta}-1}+1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2}+\frac{3}{2}
$$

where $\Delta$ is the maximum degree of the graph. They also showed that a lower bound on the approximation ratio is $\sqrt{\Delta} / 2+1 / 2$.

Since less information is available at each step, the list decreasing heuristic ListLeft performs worse than the greedy algorithm which repeatedly selects a vertex adjacent to the largest number of uncovered edges. On the other hand, Delbot et al. [4] introduced a better list heuristic algorithm ListRight which treats vertices in increasing order of their degrees.

In this paper, we obtain a tighter upper bound
for the list decreasing heuristic in [3]. Moreover, we construct a group of graphs to show that the list decreasing heuristic has a lower bound of $\sqrt{\Delta} / 2+1$. The results is better than that of Avis et al. [3], which is $\sqrt{\Delta} / 2+1 / 2$ 。

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly reviews the terminologies. Section 3 proposes a tighter upper bound for the list decreasing heuristic algorithm. Section 4 constructs a group of graphs in recursion form. Lastly a brief conclusion is made in Section 5.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Vertex cover problem

A vertex cover is a set of vertices in a graph. Given an undirected graph $G=(V, E)$, a set of vertices $C \subseteq V$ is called a vertex cover if for any edge in E at least one of its endpoints is contained in $C$. In other words, given $G$, a vertex cover of $G$ is a set of vertices $V^{\prime}$ such that $V^{\prime} \subseteq V, \forall(u, v) \in E, u \in V^{\prime}$ or $v \in V^{\prime}$ or both.

For any vertex $v_{\mathrm{i}} \in V$, we denote $\mathrm{N}\left(v_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ the set of neighbors of $v_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $d_{\mathrm{i}}=\left|\mathrm{N}\left(v_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right|=\mathrm{d}\left(v_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ the degree of $v_{\mathrm{i}}$, i.e. its number of neighbors. Let $n$ represent the number of vertices, $m$ the number of edges, and $\triangle$ the maximum degree of $G$. Assume the vertices are labeled such that $\Delta=d_{1} \geq d_{2} \geq \ldots \geq d_{\mathrm{n}}$. Let $C_{D}$ be a vertex cover and opt denote the size of the minimum vertex cover, and then $\left|C_{D}\right| /$ opt denotes the approximation ratio.

## B. List heuristic algorithm

Demange et al. [2] proposed an online vertex covering algorithm. The scanned vertex is selected if and only if it has at least a nonselected already revealed neighbor. List heuristic algorithm is a kind of online vertex covering algorithm. Any permutation of the $n$ vertices of $V$ is called a list. The list may be sorted according to the vertices' degrees.

The vertices are revealed one by one from the list. The algorithm scans the list in real time and makes a decision of selection or not for the currently scanned vertex immediately. The vertex degrees are not updated during the process.

List decreasing heuristic algorithm was presented by Avis et al. [3], in 2007, which is called ListLeft in [4]. It scans vertices in order of decreasing degree (from left to right) and selects a vertex if it is adjacent to an uncovered edge. Delbot et al. [4] modified the list decreasing heuristic algorithm to ListRight heuristic algorithm. They scan the list from right to left. The scanned vertex is selected if and only if at least a right neighbor is not selected.

In this paper, we deal with ListLeft and analyze its bounds on the approximation ratio.
C. Linear programming

An important tool in the analysis of approximation algorithms is a linear programming relaxation of the related integer programming problem, and was first used by Lovász [3]. The traditional approach is shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1. The traditional approach in the integer linear programming problem.

The following definition is a linear programming relaxation for the vertex cover problem.

For any vertex cover $C$ of a graph $G=(V, E)$, we denote $x_{\mathrm{v}}$ the weight of vertex $v$, and define $\mathrm{X}=\left\{x_{v}\right\}_{v \in V}$ as follows : $x_{v}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}1, v \in C, \\ 0, v \notin C,\end{array}\right.$
where X is a 0 or 1 valued feasible solution for the linear programming, and conversely every 0 or 1 feasible solution corresponds to a vertex cover for G.

For any edge $e=u v \in E$ of a graph $G=(V, E)$, we denote $y_{e}$ the weight of edge $e$, and define $\mathrm{Y}=\left\{y_{e}\right\}_{e \in E}$ as follows : $y_{e}=1 / \mathrm{d}(u)$ or $1 / \mathrm{d}(v)$ or $1 / \Delta$ depending on the designed algorithm, where Y is a fraction valued feasible solution for the linear programming. This is an assignment of nonnegative weights to the edges of $G$ such that the sum of the edge weights at any vertex is at most one. Any $\mathrm{Y}=\left\{y_{e}\right\}_{e \in E}$ that satisfies the conditions above is called a fractional matching of $G$.

We define the size of $X$ as $|X|=\sum_{v \in V} x_{v}$, and clearly
$|\mathrm{X}|=|\mathrm{C}|$. We define the size of Y as $|\mathrm{Y}|=\sum_{e \in E} y_{e}$. In the vertex cover problem, we try to minimize the summation of $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{v}}$, where $v \in V$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\min & \sum_{v \in V} x_{v} & \\
\text { s.t. } & x_{v}+x_{u} \geq 1 \quad \forall(u, v) \in \mathrm{E}, \\
& x_{v} \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathrm{~V} .
\end{array}
$$

The dual is to maximize the summation of $y_{\mathrm{e}}$, where $\mathrm{e} \in E$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\max & \sum_{e \in E} y_{e} \\
\text { s.t. } & \sum_{e \in \delta(v)} y_{e} \leq 1 \quad \forall v \in V, \\
& y_{e} \geq 0 \quad \forall e \in E .
\end{array}
$$

For any graph $G$, let opt be an minimum vertex cover of $G, C_{D}$ be any vertex cover of $G$ and Y be any fractional matching. Clearly
$\left|\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{D}}\right|=|\mathrm{X}| \geq$ opt $\geq|\mathrm{Y}|=\sum_{e \in E} y_{e}$.
Using the above facts, Avis et al. obtained some bounds on the approximation ratio for the ListLeft
algorithm.

## III. AN ANALYSIS FOR THE UPPER BOUND ON THE APPROXIMATION RATIO

Given a graph $G=(V, E)$, let $d_{\mathrm{i}}$ denote the degree of vertex $v_{i}$, and assume the vertices are labeled such that $\Delta=d_{1} \geq d_{2} \geq \ldots \geq d_{\mathrm{n}}$. The maximum degree is $\triangle=d_{1}$ and the minimum degree is $d_{\mathrm{n}}$. The list decreasing heuristic algorithm [3] is shown below.

## Algorithm ListLeft.

Input : Any graph $G$ and any associated list $\mathrm{L}=<v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{n}}>$ sorted by decreasing degrees, i.e. $\Delta=d_{1} \geq d_{2} \geq \ldots \geq d_{\mathrm{n}}$.
$C_{D}:=\varnothing ; \quad / /$ Initially $C_{D}$ is empty.
For $\mathrm{i}=1$ to $\mathrm{n}-1 / /$ Scan the list L from left to right.
\{
Let $v_{\mathrm{i}}$ be the currently scanned vertex;
Let $R_{\mathrm{i}}$ denote the set of edges incident to $v_{\mathrm{i}}$ but not incident to any vertex already in $C_{D}$;
If $R_{\mathrm{i}}$ is not empty, then $C_{D}:=C_{D} \cup\left\{v_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$; \};
Return $\left(C_{D}\right)$;

The above algorithm outputs a vertex cover $C_{D}$ in $n-1$ steps by scanning the vertices one by one. In [3], Avis et al. constructed a dual feasible solution $\mathrm{Y}=\left\{y_{e}\right\}_{e \in E}$ as follows. Let $p$ be the minimum index such that $\sum_{i=1}^{p} d_{i} \geq m .\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{p}\right\}$ is a minimum cardinality set of vertices. Y is obtained by initially setting $y_{e}=\frac{1}{\Delta}$ for each edge $e$. For each $i \geq p+1$ for which $v_{i}$ is selected by ListLeft, they choose an arbitrary edge $e$ from $R_{i}$ and reassign it a weight
$y_{e}=\frac{1}{d_{i}}$. Now a fractional matching $\mathrm{Y}=\left\{y_{\mathrm{e}}\right\}_{e \in E}$ of $G$ can be obtained from $C_{D}$.

In the following, we will refine the techniques of Avis et al. [3] and Iida Hiroshi [5, 6] to derive the following theorem.

## Theorem 1.

Let $C_{D}$ be the solution obtained by ListLeft and opt be the size of the optimal solution, then
$\left|C_{D}\right| \leq o p t * \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2 \Delta}-1}+\frac{(\sqrt{2 \Delta}+1)}{4+\frac{2+4 d_{n}}{\Delta-1-d_{n}}}$.

## Proof.

In the selected part $C_{D}$ obtained by ListLeft, we choose the minimum $p$ nodes $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{p}}$ such that the degree sum of the $p$ nodes is just greater than or equal to $m$, i. e. $\sum_{i=1}^{p} d_{i} \geq m$. We then let $s=\left|C_{D}\right|-p$.

First, we focus on the first p nodes. The degree sum of the $p$ nodes will be less than or equal to $m-1+\triangle$ as shown below.
$\because d_{p} \geq 1$
$\therefore d_{1}+d_{2}+\ldots+d_{p-1} \leq m-1$
$\because d_{p} \leq \Delta$
$\therefore d_{1}+d_{2}+\ldots+d_{p-1}+d_{p} \leq m-1+\Delta$
Second, we focus on the following s nodes. The degree sum of the s nodes will be less than or equal to $m-d_{\mathrm{n}}$ as below.
$\because \sum_{i=1}^{p} d_{i} \geq m$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} d_{i}+\sum_{i=p+1}^{p+s} d_{i}+\sum_{i=p+s+1}^{n} d_{i}=2 m$,
$\therefore d_{p+1}+\ldots+d_{p+s} \leq m-d_{n}$.
We then apply the Cauch-Schwarz inequality used in [3]. Since $d_{p+1}, d_{p+2}, \ldots, d_{p+s}$ are positive integers with sum at most $m-d_{n}$, then
$\sum_{i=p+1}^{p+s} \frac{1}{d_{i}} \geq \frac{s^{2}}{m-d_{n}}$.
Let opt be the size of the optimal solution. Now we construct a different fractional matching $\mathrm{Y}=\left\{y_{e}\right\}_{e \in E}$ as follows. Let $R_{\mathrm{i}}$ denote the set of edges incident to $v_{\mathrm{i}}$ but not incident to any vertex already in $C_{D}$. We select an arbitrary edge $e$ from $R_{i}$ and assign it the weight $y_{e}=\frac{1}{d_{i}}$. Each of the other edges in $E$ is assigned the weight $\frac{1}{\Delta}=\frac{1}{d_{1}}$. Then
$o p t \geq \sum y_{e}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\sum_{i=p+1}^{p+s} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\frac{m-\left|C_{D}\right|}{d_{1}}$
$\geq \frac{p^{2}}{m-1+\Delta}+\frac{s^{2}}{m-d_{n}}+\frac{m-\left|C_{D}\right|}{d_{1}}$
$=\left[\frac{p^{2}}{m-1+\Delta}+\frac{m-1+\Delta}{2 d_{1}}\right]+\left[\frac{s^{2}}{m-d_{n}}+\frac{m-d_{n}}{2 d_{1}}\right]+2\left[\frac{m-\left|C_{D}\right|}{d_{1}}\right]$
$-\frac{m-1+\Delta}{2 d_{1}}-\frac{m-d_{n}}{2 d_{1}}$
Using the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
o p t & \geq 2 \sqrt{\frac{p^{2}}{2 d_{1}}}+2 \sqrt{\frac{s^{2}}{2 d_{1}}}+\frac{2 m-2\left|C_{D}\right|-(m-1+\Delta)-\left(m-d_{n}\right)}{2 d_{1}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{2}{d_{1}}}(p+s)-\frac{\left|C_{D}\right|}{d_{1}}+\frac{1-\Delta+d_{n}}{2 d_{1}} \\
& =\left|C_{D}\right|\left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{d_{1}}}-\frac{1}{d_{1}}\right]+\frac{1-\Delta+d_{n}}{2 d_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { opt }-\frac{1-\Delta+d_{n}}{2 \Delta} \geq\left(\left|C_{D}\right|\right)\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\Delta}}-\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)
$$

$$
\left|C_{D}\right| \leq o p t * \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2 \Delta}-1}+\frac{\Delta-1-d_{n}}{2 \sqrt{2 \Delta}-2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \text { opt } * \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2 \Delta}-1}+\frac{(\sqrt{2 \Delta}+1)}{4+\frac{2+4 d_{n}}{\Delta-1-d_{n}}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (1) is more precise than the result in [5], but not good enough. So we propose a tighter upper bound for ListLeft in the following theo-
rem.

## Theorem 2.

Let $C_{D}$ be the solution obtained by ListLeft, k be the number of vertices with maximum degree, and opt be the size of the optimal solution. If $k<n$, then

$$
\frac{\left|C_{D}\right|}{o p t} \leq \frac{n-1}{\sum y_{e}}=\frac{n-1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\frac{m-(n-1)}{d_{1}}} \leq \frac{n-1}{\frac{(\mathrm{n}-1-\mathrm{k})^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{k} \Delta-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}}+\frac{m-n+1+k}{\Delta}}
$$

## Proof.

In ListLeft, we divide the n nodes into two parts, the selected part and unselected part. The selected part is equal to the vertex cover $C_{D}$. In the selected part we have at most $n-1$ nodes and remain at least one node to be unselected. We can write the equation as follow.
$\left|C_{D}\right| \leq n-1$.
Now we construct a fractional matching $\mathrm{Y}=$ $\left\{\frac{1}{d_{1}}, \frac{1}{d_{2}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{d_{n-1}}, \frac{1}{d_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{d_{1}}\right\}$. The total weight of the m edges can be written as follow.
$\Sigma y_{e}=\frac{1}{d_{1}}+\frac{1}{d_{2}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{d_{n-1}}+\frac{m-(n-1)}{d_{1}}==\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\frac{m-(n-1)}{d_{1}}$.
$\because$ opt $\geq \Sigma y_{e}$.
$\therefore$ opt $\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\frac{m-(n-1)}{d_{1}}$.
$\because d_{1}+d_{2}+\ldots+d_{n-1}+d_{n}=2 m$.
If $d_{1}=d_{2}=\ldots=d_{k}=\Delta$ and $k<n$, then
$d_{k+1}+\ldots+d_{n-1}=2 m-k \Delta-d_{n}$.
We use the techniques proposed by Avis et al. [3] to reduce the formula as follows :
$\frac{1}{d_{k+1}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{d_{n-1}} \geq \frac{(n-1-k)^{2}}{2 m-k \Delta-d_{n}}$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { opt } \geq \Sigma y_{e}=\frac{1}{d_{1}}+\frac{1}{d_{2}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{d_{n-1}}+\frac{m-(n-1)}{d_{1}} \\
\geq \frac{(\mathrm{n}-1-\mathrm{k})^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{k} \Delta-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}}+\frac{m-(n-1-k)}{\Delta} \\
=\frac{(\mathrm{n}-1-\mathrm{k})^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{k} \Delta-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}}+\frac{m-n+1+k}{\Delta} \\
\frac{1}{o p t} \leq \frac{1}{\frac{(\mathrm{n}-1-\mathrm{k})^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{k} \Delta-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}}+\frac{m-n+1+k}{\Delta}} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (2) and (4), we can get the approximation ratio as follows :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|C_{D}\right|}{o p t} \leq \frac{n-1}{\sum y_{e}}=\frac{n-1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\frac{m-(n-1)}{d_{1}}} \leq \frac{n-1}{\frac{(\mathrm{n}-1-\mathrm{k})^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{k} \Delta-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}}+\frac{m-n+1+k}{\Delta}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us show an example in Figure 2. Note that the total number of vertices $n=25$, the total number of edges $m=84$, the maximum degree $\Delta=16=N^{2}$, the number of vertices with maximum degree $k=4$. This example can be generalized to let $N$ be an arbitrary value.
We have
opt $\geq \frac{(\mathrm{n}-1-\mathrm{k})^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{k} \Delta-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}}+\frac{m-n+1+k}{\Delta}$
$=\frac{(25-1-4)^{2}}{2 * 84-4 * 16-4}+\frac{84-25+1+4}{16}=8$,
$\left|C_{D}\right| \leq n-1=24$,
$\frac{\left|C_{D}\right|}{o p t} \leq \frac{24}{8}=3$.
Now we find the lower bound formula in [3], we can arise to $\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2}+1=\frac{\sqrt{16}}{2}+1=3$.

We can find that the upper bound on the approximation ratio using (5) is just equal to the lower bound $\sqrt{\Delta} / 2+1$ in [3]. This means we have found an example to close the gap between the two bounds.

Let us deal with the case that all vertices in the graph have the maximum degree.


Figure 2. An example using $N=4$.

## Corollary 1.

Consider a graph $G=(V, E)$ with $k=n$ and $d_{1}=$ $d_{2}=\ldots=d_{n}=\Delta$. Let $C_{D}$ be the solution obtained by ListLeft applied on $G$ and opt be the size of the optimal solution, then $\frac{\left|C_{D}\right|}{o p t} \leq \frac{n-1}{\sum y_{e}}=\frac{(n-1) \Delta}{m}$.

## Proof.

If $d_{1}=d_{2}=\ldots=d_{\mathrm{n}}=\Delta$ and $k=n$, then each edge $\mathrm{e} \in \mathrm{E}$ can be assigned the weight $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{e}}=1 / \Delta$, and $o p t \geq \sum y_{e}=\frac{m}{\Delta}$. From (2), we can get the approximation ratio as follows :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|C_{D}\right|}{o p t} \leq \frac{n-1}{\sum y_{e}}=\frac{n-1}{\frac{m}{\Delta}}=\frac{(n-1) \Delta}{m} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example.

Let us show the results of complete graphs in Figure 3. In complete graphs, if we use maximum degree to express the approximation ratio then we will get bigger ratio when $n$ is bigger. So we can get a tighter bound,

$$
\frac{\left|C_{D}\right|}{\text { opt }} \leq \frac{(n-1) \Delta}{m}=\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n(n-2) / 2}=2-\frac{2}{n}
$$

in our formula than that of Avis et al. [3].
The exact approximation ratio in the complete
graphs is always $\frac{\left|C_{D}\right|}{\text { opt }} \leq(\mathrm{n}-1) /(\mathrm{n}-1)=1$.


Figure 3. The result of complete graphs using $\mathrm{n}=3$ to 100 .

Let us deal with the case that the graph has t vertices with minimum degree 1 or 0 .

## Corollary 2.

Consider a graph $G=(V, E)$ with $d_{1}=d_{2}=\ldots=$ $d_{k}=\Delta, k<n$, and $d_{n-t+1}=d_{n-t+2}=\ldots=d_{n}=1$ or 0 , i.e., there are t vertices with minimum degree 1 or 0 . Let $C_{D}$ be the solution obtained by ListLeft applied on $G$ and opt be the size of the optimal solution, then
$\frac{\left|C_{D}\right|}{o p t} \leq \frac{n-t}{\sum y_{e}}=\frac{n-t}{\sum_{i=1}^{\sum_{i}} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\frac{m-(n-t)}{d_{1}}} \leq \frac{n-t}{\frac{(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{k})^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{k} \Delta-\mathrm{t}}+\frac{m-n+t+k}{\Delta}}$.
Proof.
In ListLeft, we divide the n nodes into two parts, the selected part and unselected part. The selected part is equal to the vertex cover $C_{D}$. If there are $t$ vertices with minimum degree 1 or 0 , then all the $t$ vertices will be put into the unselected part. So we have
$\left|C_{D}\right| \leq n-t$.
The weights of the edges can be assigned similarly to those in the proof of Theorem 2.

We construct a fractional matching $\mathrm{Y}=$ $\left\{\frac{1}{d_{1}}, \frac{1}{d_{2}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{d_{n-1}}, \frac{1}{d_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{d_{1}}\right\}$. Then
$\Sigma y_{e}=\frac{1}{d_{1}}+\frac{1}{d_{2}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{d_{n-t}}+\frac{m-(n-t)}{d_{1}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-t} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\frac{m-(n-t)}{d_{1}}$.
$\sum y_{e}=\frac{1}{d_{1}}+\frac{1}{d_{2}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{d_{n-t}}+\frac{m-(n-t)}{d_{1}}$
$=\sum_{i=1}^{n-t} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\frac{m-(n-t)}{d_{1}}$.
$\because o p t \geq \Sigma y_{e}$.
$\therefore$ opt $\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-t} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\frac{m-(n-t)}{d_{1}}$.
$d_{1}+d_{2}+\ldots+d_{n-1}+d_{n}=2 m$.
If $\mathrm{d}_{1}=\mathrm{d}_{2}=\ldots=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k}}=\Delta, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t}+1}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t}+2}=\ldots=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}$
$=1$ or 0 , and $\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{n}$, then
$d_{k+1}+\ldots+d_{n-t}=2 m-k \Delta-t$.

We use the techniques proposed by Avis et al. [3] to reduce the formula as follows :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{d_{k+1}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{d_{n-t}} \geq \frac{(n-t-k)^{2}}{2 m-k \Delta-t} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
o p t \geq \Sigma y_{e}=\frac{1}{d_{1}}+\frac{1}{d_{2}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{d_{n-t}}+\frac{m-(n-t)}{d_{1}} \\
\geq \frac{(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{k})^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{k} \Delta-\mathrm{t}}+\frac{m-(n-t-k)}{\Delta} \\
\quad=\frac{(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{k})^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{k} \Delta-\mathrm{t}}+\frac{m-n+t+k}{\Delta} \\
\frac{1}{o p t} \leq \frac{1}{\frac{(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{k})^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{k} \Delta-\mathrm{t}}+\frac{m-n+t+k}{\Delta}}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

From (8) and (9), we can get the approximation ratio as follows :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|C_{D}\right|}{\text { opt }} \leq \frac{n-t}{\sum y_{e}}=\frac{n-t}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-t} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\frac{m-(n-t)}{d_{1}}} \leq \frac{n-t}{\frac{(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{k})^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{t}}+\frac{m-n+t+k}{\Delta}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n=17$, the total number of edges $m=18$, the maximum degree $\Delta=3$, the number of vertices with maximum degree $k=8$, the number of vertices with minimum degree $t=6$. In this bipartite graph,

$$
\left|C_{D}\right| \leq n-t=17-6=11
$$

Now we assign the value $1 / \Delta=1 / 3$ as the weight of the thick edges in Figure 4 . The other edges are also assigned a weight of $1 / \Delta=1 / 3$.

Case 1 : Using Equation (9), we have
opt $\geq \sum y_{e}=\frac{(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{k})^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{k} \Delta-\mathrm{t}}+\frac{\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{k}}{\Delta}=6.5$,
$\frac{\left|C_{D}\right|}{o p t} \leq \frac{n-t}{\sum y_{e}}=11 / 6.5=1.692$.
Case 2 : Using the upper bound in [3],
$\frac{\left|C_{D}\right|}{o p t} \leq \frac{\Delta}{2 \sqrt{\Delta}-1}+1=\frac{3}{2 \sqrt{3}-1}+1=2.2175$.
Case 3 : Using the upper bound we proposed,

$$
\frac{\left|C_{D}\right|}{o p t} \leq \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2}+1=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}+1=1.866 .
$$

We can find $1.866<2.2175$. We have a tighter bound $\sqrt{\Delta} / 2+1$ than those of Avis et al. [3].

## Example.

Let us use the bipartite graph as an example in Figure 4. Note that the total number of vertices


Figure 4. An example using maximum degree $\Delta=3$.

## IV. A NEW GROUP OF GRAPHS IN RECURSIVE FORM TO MATCH THE LOWER BOUND

Reducing the gap between the lower bound and upper bound is one of the main challenges facing the researchers. In this section, we propose a new group of graphs which can enhance the lower bound on the approximation ratio for ListLeft proposed by Avis et al. [3].

The graphs can be represented as a recursion structure shown in Figure 5. The maximum degree of the graph is $N^{2 k}$, so $\sqrt{\Delta}=N^{k}$, then the lower bound on the approximation ratio will be $\frac{N^{2 k}+2 N^{k}}{2 N^{k}}=\frac{N^{k}}{2}+1$. It means that the approximation ratio of the lower bound is also come up to $\sqrt{\Delta} / 2+1$.

Avis et al. [3] obtained an upper bound $\sqrt{\Delta} / 2+3 / 2$ which can be represented in more precise form as $\frac{\Delta}{2 \sqrt{\Delta}-1}+1$. If we let $\sqrt{\Delta}=N^{k}$, then the upper bound in [3] will be $\frac{N^{2 k}+2 N^{k}-1}{2 N^{k}-1}$. The
approximation ratio of our graphs can be written as $\frac{N^{2 k}+2 N^{k}}{2 N^{k}}$. The gap between the two bounds is $\frac{N^{2 k}+2 N^{k}-1}{2 N^{k}-1}-\frac{N^{2 k}+2 N^{k}}{2 N^{k}}=\frac{N^{2 k}}{4 N^{2 k}-2 N^{k}}=\frac{1}{4-2 / N^{k}}$ and $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4-2 / N^{k}}=0.25$. It means the gap between the upper and lower bounds is come up to 0.25 when n tends infinity.

## Example.

In Figure 6, the maximum degree of the graph is $N^{2}$, so $\sqrt{\Delta}=N$, then its lower bound on the approximation ratio will be $\frac{N^{2}+2 N}{2 N}=\frac{N}{2}+1$. It means that the approximation ratio of the lower bound is come up to $\sqrt{\Delta} / 2+1$.


Figure 5. The structure of the example groups.


Figure 6. An example of graphs with maximum degree $N^{2}$

## IV. CONCLUSION

After careful consideration and derivation, we obtain the equation (1) in Theorem 1. The result is better than that of Iida Hiroshi [5, 6]. Furthermore, we have proposed a new group of graphs which can come up the lower bound to $\sqrt{\Delta} / 2+1$. The result is also better than that of Avis et al. [3]. The gap between the lower bound and upper bound is come up to 0.25 when $n$ tends infinity.

We have proposed a tighter bound formula of the list decreasing heuristic for the vertex cover problem. We also give an example to show the result of the upper bound is equal to $\sqrt{\Delta} / 2+1$. The bounds of ListLeft derived by some researchers and us are shown in Figure 7.

In [3] the authors proved that an upper bound on the approximation ratio of ListLeft is
$\sqrt{\Delta} / 2+3 / 2$, and it can be represented in more precise form as $\frac{\Delta}{2 \sqrt{\Delta}-1}+1$. The difference between the two bounds and the exact upper bound is $\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}+1 / 2}{8 \Delta-2}$. Iida Hiroshi [5] used the lemma proposed by Avis et al. [3] to obtain the following formula :
$\left|C_{D}\right| \leq o p t * \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2 \Delta}-1}+\frac{\sqrt{2 \Delta}+1}{4}$.
Furthermore Iida Hiroshi [6] got the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|C_{D}\right| \leq o p t * \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2 \Delta}-1}-\frac{q}{2 \sqrt{2 \Delta}-2}, \text { where } \mathrm{q} \geq \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}} \text {. } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Iida Hiroshi [6] indicated that, when the maximum degree $(\Delta)$ is smaller than 19 , the above upper bound is better than that of Avis's. Finally,
we summarize our and previous results in Table 1.


Figure 7. The bounds of ListLeft.

Table 1．Comparison of the results with previous work．

| Researcher | Main ideas | The results of the bound equation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avis et al．［3］ | $\begin{aligned} o p t & \geq \sum y_{e}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\frac{1}{\Delta}(m-s) \\ & \geq \frac{s^{2}}{m}+\frac{m-s}{\Delta}=\frac{s^{2}}{m}+\frac{m}{\Delta}-\frac{s}{\Delta} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|C_{D}\right\| \leq o p t *\left(\frac{\Delta}{2 \sqrt{\Delta}-1}+1\right)$ |
| Iida Hiroshi［5］ | $\begin{aligned} o p t \geq \sum y_{e} & =\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\frac{m-C_{D}}{\Delta} \\ & \geq \frac{p^{2}}{m-1+\Delta}+\frac{s^{2}}{m}+\frac{m-C_{D}}{\Delta} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|C_{D}\right\| \leq o p t * \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2 \Delta}-1}+\frac{\sqrt{2 \Delta}+1}{4}$ |
| Iida Hiroshi［6］ | $\begin{aligned} o p t \geq \sum y_{e} & =\sum_{i=1}^{C_{D}} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\frac{1}{\Delta}\left(m-C_{D}\right) \\ & \geq \frac{C_{D}{ }^{2}}{2 m-q}+\frac{2\left(m-C_{D}\right)}{2 \Delta} \end{aligned}$ <br> where $q \geq d_{n}$ | $\left\|C_{D}\right\| \leq o p t * \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2 \Delta}-1}-\frac{q}{2 \sqrt{2 \Delta}-2}$ |
| Our result in Theorem 1 | $o p t \geq \sum y_{e}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{d_{i}}+\frac{m-C_{D}}{d_{1}}$ | $\left\|C_{D}\right\| \leq o p t * \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2 \Delta}-1}+\frac{(\sqrt{2 \Delta}+1)}{4+\frac{2+4 d_{n}}{\Delta-1-d_{n}}}$ |
| Our result in Theorem 2 | $\begin{aligned} o p t \geq \Sigma y_{e} & =\frac{1}{d_{1}}+\frac{1}{d_{2}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{d_{n-1}}+\frac{m-(n-1)}{d_{1}} \\ & \geq \frac{(\mathrm{n}-1-\mathrm{k})^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{k} \Delta-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}}+\frac{m-(n-1-k)}{\Delta} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|C_{D}\right\| \leq o p t * \frac{n-1}{\frac{(\mathrm{n}-1-\mathrm{k})^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{k} \Delta-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}}+\frac{m-n+1+k}{\Delta}}$ |
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